

Measuring central-spin interaction with a spin bath by pulsed ENDOR: Towards suppression of spin diffusion decoherence

S. J. Balian,^{1,*} M. B. A. Kunze,² M. H. Mohammady,¹ G. W. Morley,³ W. M. Witzel,⁴ C. W. M. Kay,^{2,5} and T. S. Monteiro¹

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*

²*Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*

³*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom*

⁴*Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA*

⁵*London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, 17-19 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AH, United Kingdom*

(Received 18 April 2012; revised manuscript received 13 August 2012; published 21 September 2012)

We present pulsed electron-nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) experiments which enable us to characterize the coupling between bismuth donor spin qubits in Si and the surrounding spin bath of ²⁹Si impurities which provides the dominant decoherence mechanism (nuclear spin diffusion) at low temperatures (< 16 K). Decoupling from the spin bath is predicted and cluster correlation expansion simulations show near-complete suppression of spin diffusion, at optimal working points. The suppression takes the form of sharply peaked divergences of the spin diffusion coherence time, in contrast with previously identified broader regions of insensitivity to classical fluctuations. ENDOR data suggest that anisotropic contributions are comparatively weak, so the form of the divergences is largely independent of crystal orientation.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.86.104428](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.104428)

PACS number(s): 76.70.Dx, 03.65.Yz, 03.67.Lx, 76.30.—v

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum decoherence presents a fundamental limitation to the realization of practical quantum computing and of other technological devices which actively exploit quantum phenomena. In 2002, a ground-breaking study established the usefulness of so-called optimal working points (OWPs):¹ These are parameter regimes where the system becomes—to first order—insensitive to fluctuations of external classical fields. We consider here the effect of OWPs in a system where decoherence of a central spin system arises from interactions with a fluctuating bath of surrounding spins—a scenario that is of considerable significance in the field of quantum information.^{2–10}

A promising approach for silicon-based quantum-information processing (QIP) involves combined electron and nuclear spins of donor atoms in Si, which are amenable to high-fidelity manipulation by means of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), respectively. Most studies have considered phosphorus (³¹P) donors in Si.^{11–22} More recently, several different groups have investigated another Group V donor, ²⁰⁹Bi. These studies not only showed that bismuth donors have similar properties to Si:P, such as long electron spin coherence times T_2 of the order of several ms at low temperatures (< 16 K),^{23,24} but that they also offer new possibilities for QIP. For example, strong optical hyperpolarization was demonstrated,^{23,25} allowing for efficient initialization of the nuclear spin. The Si:Bi spin system has an electron spin $S = 1/2$ and a large nuclear spin $I = 9/2$ as well as an atypically strong hyperfine coupling constant, $A/2\pi = 1.4754$ GHz. The strong state-mixing occurring for magnetic fields $B \simeq 0.1$ – 0.6 T where the hyperfine interaction competes with the electronic Zeeman energy allows transitions which are forbidden at high magnetic fields,^{26,27} observed recently in Ref. 28. In Refs. 26 and 27, a set of minima and maxima were found in the f - B parameter space of dipole-allowed transitions at frequencies f . These $df/dB \simeq 0$ points were

identified as OWPs: Line narrowing and reduced sensitivity to temporal and spatial noise in B over a broad region of fields (closely related to $df/dB = 0$ extrema) were found. However, to date, their effectiveness for reducing decoherence in the real environment of a spin bath remains untested.

In natural Si, 4.67% of lattice sites are occupied by the nuclear spin-half ²⁹Si isotope, rather than the spinless ²⁸Si. Flip-flopping of the ²⁹Si spins provides the dominant mechanism of decoherence for both Si:P and Si:Bi systems at low temperatures. The decay of the donor Hahn spin echo for these systems is typically fitted to $\exp[-t/T_2 - (t/T_{SD})^n]$, where $T_{SD} < 1$ ms characterizes the nuclear spin diffusion, with $n \simeq 2$ – 3 .²⁹ Other relaxation processes, such as those arising from donor-donor interactions, are represented by T_2 . Since $T_2 \gg T_{SD}$, nuclear spin diffusion remains the main channel of decoherence at low temperatures.^{30,31}

In this work, we investigate the nature of the Bi-²⁹Si interaction by means of pulsed electron-nuclear double resonance (ENDOR).³² To obtain an ENDOR spectrum, an EPR spin echo is detected as a function of a radio frequency (rf) excitation. When the rf radiation is resonant with an NMR transition, changes are seen in the EPR signal if the populations of the relevant energy levels change. Previous ENDOR studies of Si:Bi used rf frequencies of at least several hundreds of MHz,^{23,24} and thus could not probe the weak couplings to a spin bath. In contrast, here, rf frequencies of a few MHz were used. With this approach, we have measured the bismuth spin-bath superhyperfine (SHF) couplings and determined their anisotropy.

We also present the results of cluster correlation expansion (CCE) simulations.^{29,33} This model has been used with considerable success to model central spin decoherence in Si:P.^{4,6,7,29} In Ref. 24, weak state-mixing in Si:Bi was investigated by simply allowing for the variation of an effective gyromagnetic ratio. Here we adapt the CCE simulations to include, for the first time, the strong state-mixing seen near the OWPs. A key finding is the demonstration of

near-complete suppression of nuclear spin diffusion, even in natural Si: This occurs in extremely narrow regions, where T_{SD} is in effect divergent,³⁴ in contrast to the broader effect expected from the form of df/dB .¹ A successful means of controlling decoherence is to employ isotopically enriched samples,^{4,6,14,19,20,30,31,35–37} which can exhibit long T_2 times up to the order of seconds.³¹ Thus, the OWPs represent a potentially complementary technique, effective for both natural Si and partially enriched samples. In addition, our work suggests that the OWPs may also be effective in suppressing residual effects such as donor-donor interactions which are responsible for shortening T_2 times from seconds to the ms time scale.²⁶

II. SPIN HAMILTONIAN

We investigate a spin system with total Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = A\hat{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}} + \hat{H}_{Zee} + \hat{H}_{int} + \hat{H}_{bath}. \quad (1)$$

The first term denotes the isotropic hyperfine interaction between the bismuth electronic and nuclear spins. For Si:Bi, the usual high-field reduction to Ising form $A\hat{\mathbf{I}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}} \simeq A\hat{I}^z\hat{S}^z$ cannot be made at the fields of interest here. The second term represents the Zeeman interaction with the external field, including the donor spins and summed over bath spins,

$$\hat{H}_{Zee} = \omega_0 \left(\hat{S}^z - \delta_{Bi}\hat{I}^z - \delta_{Si} \sum_n \hat{I}_n^z \right). \quad (2)$$

Here, $\omega_0 = \mu B/\hbar$ is the electronic Zeeman frequency ($\mu = 1.857 \times 10^{-23}$ J T⁻¹) while $\delta_{Bi} = 2.486 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\delta_{Si} = 3.021 \times 10^{-4}$ are the ratios of the nuclear to electronic Zeeman frequencies for the donor and ²⁹Si spins, respectively.

The spin-bath interaction term

$$\hat{H}_{int} = \sum_n \hat{\mathbf{I}}_n \mathbf{J}_n \hat{\mathbf{S}} \quad (3)$$

represents the SHF couplings between the donor and bath spins, in general of tensor form (for anisotropic couplings). Finally, dipolar coupling between each pair of ²⁹Si spins is represented by the bath term

$$\hat{H}_{bath} = \sum_{n < m} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_n \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}_{nm}) \hat{\mathbf{I}}_m, \quad (4)$$

where \mathbf{r}_{nm} denotes the relative position vector of bath spins at lattice sites n and m . Writing $\mathbf{r}_{nm} \equiv \mathbf{r}$ for a pair of spins, the components of the dipolar tensor \mathbf{D} are given by

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{\mu_0 \delta_{Si}^2 \mu^2}{4\pi \hbar r^3} \right) \left(\delta_{ij} - 3 \frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} \right), \quad (5)$$

with $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ N A⁻² and $i, j = x, y, z$.

III. ENDOR MEASUREMENTS

The experimental ENDOR studies reported here served to investigate and characterize the isotropic/anisotropic character of the spin-bath interaction term, namely a set of distinct \mathbf{J}_n values—SHF couplings—in Eq. (3), corresponding to occupancy of inequivalent lattice sites by ²⁹Si impurities. Pulsed ENDOR experiments were performed using the Davies

ENDOR pulse sequence.^{32,38} We applied the pulse sequence $\pi_{mw} - \tau_1 - \pi_{rf} - \tau_2 - \frac{\pi}{2}_{mw} - \tau_3 - \pi_{mw} - \tau_3 - \text{echo}$, where the microwave (mw) frequency is chosen to excite one EPR transition and the rf is stochastically varied between 2–12 MHz or 2–7 MHz to excite all nuclear spin transitions in this region. We used 256 ns long π_{mw} -pulses and a 128 ns long $\frac{\pi}{2}_{mw}$ -pulse. For optimal signal-to-noise ratio and resolution, we used a π_{rf} -pulse of 10 μ s. Pulse delays were set to $\tau_1 = 1$ μ s, $\tau_2 = 3$ μ s, and $\tau_3 = 1.5$ μ s and a shot repetition time of 1.3 ms was employed to give a good signal-to-noise ratio. All experiments were carried out at 15 K on an E580 pulsed EPR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) equipped with pulsed ENDOR accessory (E560D-P), a dielectric ring ENDOR resonator (EN4118X-MD4), a liquid helium flow cryostat (Oxford CF935), and an rf amplifier (ENI A-500W). We used a donor concentration of 3×10^{15} cm⁻³ and the magnetic field was directed perpendicular to the [111] plane.

While not offering the higher frequency resolution attainable with continuous-wave ENDOR,^{39,40} the pulsed ENDOR measurements permit us to adequately constrain and to demonstrate the reliability of the numerical simulations. In particular, we established that isotropic couplings to the spin bath dominate the decoherence dynamics. While not the focus of this study, a further motivation is to investigate the feasibility of an alternative possibility for QIP: to simultaneously manipulate the ²⁹Si atoms as spin-half qubits, along with the donors.⁴¹

Measured ENDOR spectra at $f \simeq 9.755$ GHz are presented in Fig. 1, together with a list of SHF couplings. For the magnetic field range $B \simeq 0.1$ –0.6 T in Fig. 1, there is significant mixing of the high-field Si:Bi energy eigenstates $|m_S, m_I\rangle$. The mixed eigenstates, $|\pm, m\rangle$, correspond to doublets (at most) of constant $m = m_S + m_I$:

$$|\pm, m\rangle = a_m^\pm \left| \pm \frac{1}{2}, m \mp \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle + b_m^\pm \left| \mp \frac{1}{2}, m \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle, \quad (6)$$

$$|a_m^\pm|^2 - |b_m^\pm|^2 = \frac{\Omega_m(\omega_0)}{\sqrt{\Omega_m^2(\omega_0) + 25 - m^2}} \equiv \gamma_m(\omega_0), \quad (7)$$

where $\Omega_m(\omega_0) = m + \frac{\omega_0}{A}(1 + \delta_{Bi})$ and m is an integer, $-5 \leq m \leq 5$. Such mixing leads to a complex EPR spectrum for bismuth with $df/dB = 0$ extrema. The minima correspond to transitions between states corresponding to adjacent avoided level crossings, of which there are four. The disparity between the electronic Zeeman and hyperfine energy scales and SHF energy scales means that the tensor coupling in Eq. (3) reduces to simpler form

$$\hat{H}_{int,l} \approx (\alpha_l \hat{I}_l^z + \beta_l \hat{I}_l^x) \hat{S}^z, \quad (8)$$

written for coupling to a single ²⁹Si at site l , where $\alpha_l = [(a_{iso,l} - T_l) + 3T_l^2 \cos^2 \vartheta_l]$ and $\beta_l = 3T_l \sin \vartheta_l \cos \vartheta_l$ with $a_{iso,l}$ and T_l the isotropic and anisotropic parts of the molecular-frame SHF tensor, respectively, and ϑ_l the angle between the external field and the line connecting the bismuth site and site l . Nonsecular terms involving \hat{S}^x and \hat{S}^y can be neglected.³² Diagonalization of the resulting 2-dimensional Hamiltonian, and setting $T_l = 0$ for a purely isotropic coupling leads to a simple expression for the ENDOR resonance frequency for




FIG. 1. (Color online) Pulsed ENDOR measured for bismuth-doped silicon with frequency 9.8 GHz at which ten EPR lines are observed, the resonance peaks due to interactions of the donor with ^{29}Si nuclei at inequivalent lattice sites. The isotropic superhyperfine couplings were extracted from the spectrum at the highest magnetic field. As the field is varied, the smooth lines follow the resonance positions according to Eq. (9). Solid and dotted lines distinguish between the two peaks observed for each coupling, each corresponding to one of the two donor levels involved in the EPR transition. Only the peaks labeled X_1 and X_2 , in addition to a third pair not resolved here, were found to show anisotropy from performing ENDOR as a function of crystal orientation.

donor level $|\pm, m\rangle$:

$$\Delta_{\text{iso},l}^{\pm,m}(\omega_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| -\omega_0 \delta_{\text{Si}} \pm \left(\frac{a_{\text{iso},l}}{2} \right) \gamma_m(\omega_0) \right|. \quad (9)$$

The above expression is in perfect agreement with full numerical diagonalization. The couplings in Fig. 1 were extracted from the measured spectra by fitting to the data Gaussians of equal width and using Eq. (9). The same expression and a single set of couplings gave excellent agreement with data at 10 different fields. In particular, the observed pattern of half a dozen highest frequency ^{29}Si resonances moving to a minimum at $B \simeq 0.2$ T, then increasing again, is directly attributable to mixing of the states of the bismuth donor: i.e., here $\gamma_m(\omega_0)$ has a minimum.

Ten out of the twelve couplings extracted from data were found to be purely isotropic. The highest-field spectrum was measured for a range of crystal orientations and only three weak intensity lines showed orientation-dependent

frequencies and hence anisotropy. Two are indicated by X_1 and X_2 in Fig. 1: The corresponding two couplings with nonzero anisotropy were found to have $(a_{\text{iso},X_1} \simeq 2.8, T_{X_1} \simeq 2.4)$ MHz and $(a_{\text{iso},X_2} \simeq 0.4, T_{X_2} \simeq 2.8)$ MHz by fitting the more general form of Eq. (9) with nonzero T . A previous ESEEM (electron spin echo envelope modulation) study identified a single anisotropic coupling,⁴² attributed to E -shell (nearest neighbor) ^{29}Si . The third line we identify is fitted by coupling constants consistent with the anisotropic coupling in Ref. 42. For most crystal orientations, this line is masked by much higher intensity lines arising from isotropic couplings.

At fields where $\gamma_m(\omega_0)$ becomes small (this occurs close to the $df/dB = 0$ minima as shown in Refs. 26 and 27), Eq. (9) tends to the ^{29}Si Zeeman frequency $\delta_{\text{Si}}\omega_0$. It is straightforward to extend Eq. (9) to the anisotropic case and show that the latter statement also holds for anisotropic couplings. In effect, at these points, the donor might be said to approximately decouple from the bath. For example, for the EPR transition $|12\rangle \rightarrow |9\rangle$ (labeling the eigenstates $|n = 1, 2, \dots, 20\rangle$ in increasing order of energy), $\gamma_m(\omega_0) = 0$ at $B = 157.9$ mT for level $|12\rangle$ and $B = 210.5$ mT for $|9\rangle$. We note that there is however no B -field value where both the upper and lower levels have $\gamma_m(\omega_0) = 0$: As we see below, this is not actually essential for complete suppression of spin diffusion. The actual OWP is at $B = 188.0$ mT, where $\gamma_{-3}(\omega_0) = -\gamma_{-4}(\omega_0)$. This is extremely close to where $df/dB = 0$, which occurs when

$$\gamma_{-3}(\omega_0) + \gamma_{-4}(\omega_0) - \frac{2\delta_{\text{Bi}}}{(1 + \delta_{\text{Bi}})} = 0. \quad (10)$$

IV. CLUSTER CORRELATION EXPANSION SIMULATIONS

In order to model the full dynamics, we assume that the temperature and donor concentrations are low enough so that phonon-induced decoherence and decoherence due to interactions between donors are negligible. The Hahn spin echo decay of a central donor electron coupled to the bismuth nucleus in a bath of ^{29}Si was calculated using the CCE.³³ Denoting the spin echo intensity by $L(t)$, let $L_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ be $L(t)$ computed including only spins in some set or ‘‘cluster’’ of bath spins \mathcal{S} . The quantity $\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ is defined as

$$L(t) = \prod_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t), \quad (11)$$

where the product is over all clusters. Applying this definition to $L_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ and factoring out $\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$, an explicit form for the $\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ is obtained in terms of the $L_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ and the $\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{C}}(t)$ in subsets \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{S} ,

$$\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t) = L_{\mathcal{S}}(t) / \prod_{\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}} \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{C}}(t). \quad (12)$$

The problem of calculating $L(t)$ is reduced into independent components each for a distinct cluster of bath spins. The exact solution to $L(t)$ is obtained if the $\tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t)$ from all clusters are combined using Eq. (11) and the approximation to $L(t)$ up to a maximum cluster size of k is defined as

$$L^{(k)}(t) = \prod_{|\mathcal{S}| \leq k} \tilde{L}_{\mathcal{S}}(t), \quad (13)$$

which involves calculating reduced problems for all clusters each containing at most k spins. The $L^{(k=2)}(t)$ (2-cluster)

calculation is a good approximation to $L(t)$ when considering only dipolar interactions in the bath affecting the spin echo, as these are at most a few kHz and hence perturbative compared to the SHF interactions in the MHz range involving the donor electron. The CCE is exact, but not always convergent. We calculated the 2-cluster ($k=2$) approximation to the CCE and obtained convergence for up to $k=3$.

The Hahn echo sequence evolves the combined system-bath state to time $t = 2\tau$:

$$|\psi(t = 2\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}\tau} (\hat{\sigma}_x \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) e^{-i\hat{H}\tau} |\psi(t = 0)\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_x$ is the Pauli- X gate acting on the donor and $\mathbb{1}_B$ denotes the bath identity. We assume that the time taken for a π -pulse is small compared to τ . The initial state was written as a product of the initial donor and bath states, the former chosen as an equal superposition of states $|12\rangle$ and $|9\rangle$. The donor subsystem is recovered after tracing over the bath and the modulus of the normalized off-diagonal element of the donor reduced density matrix is proportional to the intensity of the echo at time $t = 2\tau$. The reduced problem of the 2-cluster bath was solved for each of the four initial 2-product bath states and the average intensity obtained. 2-clusters were formed by pairing ^{29}Si spins separated by up to the 3rd nearest neighbor distance in a diamond cubic lattice of side length 160 Å. Convergence was obtained as the lattice size and the separation between the two bath nuclei were extended. It was assumed that B was large enough to conserve the total ^{29}Si Zeeman energy. Thus, the dipolar interaction between the two bath spins took the form of a combination of Ising ($\hat{I}_1^z \hat{I}_2^z$) and flip-flop ($\hat{I}_1^+ \hat{I}_2^- + \hat{I}_1^- \hat{I}_2^+$) terms. The Kohn-Luttinger electronic wave function was used to calculate the isotropic Fermi contact SHF strength with an ionization energy of 0.069 eV for the bismuth donor. Calculated couplings were of the same order as those obtained from data. The data suggest that isotropic couplings predominate; hence anisotropic couplings were neglected and the simulations were largely insensitive to orientation. Finally, we obtained the average $L^{(k=2)}(t)$ over 100 spatial configurations of ^{29}Si occupying 4.67% of lattice sites. The resulting decay curves were fitted to $\exp[-t/T_2 - (t/T_{\text{SD}})^n]$, obtaining $T_2 \gg T_{\text{SD}}$ and values of $n \simeq 2-3$.

V. SUPPRESSION OF NUCLEAR SPIN DIFFUSION

The results of our CCE simulations are presented in Fig. 2. Figure 2(a) shows the behavior around the $B = 188.0$ mT OWP, associated with the $|12\rangle \rightarrow |9\rangle$ EPR line. The calculated coherence time T_{SD} (orange dashed line) is superposed on a color map showing the SHF spectrum: The latter shows ENDOR spectra simulated as a function of B , using Eq. (9) and centered about the ^{29}Si nuclear Zeeman frequency. Strikingly, as B approaches the OWP, the ‘‘comb’’ of SHF lines narrows to little more than the width of a single line. This suggests a drastic reduction in the value of the SHF couplings, indicating that the bismuth has become largely decoupled from the ^{29}Si spin bath.

The collapse in the SHF couplings is illustrated further in Fig. 2(b). The lower panel shows the measured spectrum at 9.755 GHz. Using our experimentally determined SHF couplings, the corresponding spectrum at the OWP is shown in the




FIG. 2. (Color online) Suppression of Bi- ^{29}Si spin bath decoherence for the $|12\rangle \rightarrow |9\rangle$ EPR transition. (a) Simulated ENDOR and nuclear spin diffusion coherence times T_{SD} as a function of magnetic field B , showing collapse of the superhyperfine couplings and a sharp increase in T_{SD} as the field approaches the $B = 188.0$ mT optimal working point (OWP). The dashed line is a fit. (b) Simulated ENDOR at the $B = 188.0$ mT OWP (upper panel) and experimental spectrum at 9.755 GHz (lower panel). (c) Calculated donor Hahn spin echo decays from which coherence times in Fig. 2(a) were extracted.

upper panel of Fig. 2(b), demonstrating clearly the narrowing of the spectrum [corresponding to the same parameters as Fig. 2(a) but at the precise field value of the OWP].

The behavior of T_{SD} is also quite striking and unexpected: The coherence time predicted by CCE simulations increases asymptotically at the OWP by several orders of magnitude. Away from the OWP, the results agree well with experimentally measured values of approximately 0.7 ms.²⁴ In Ref. 24, in a regime of weak state-mixing, simulations using an effective gyromagnetic ratio indicated that T_{SD} was slightly reduced (by about 5%) in a regime corresponding to lower df/dB . The present study, on the other hand (which in contrast to Ref. 24 employed a full treatment of the quantum eigenstate mixing), shows rather an effect very sharply peaked about the OWP: Nuclear spin diffusion is predicted to be largely suppressed, but over an extremely narrow magnetic field range.

Figure 2(c) shows a sample of CCE spin echo decays from which T_{SD} times were extracted, and also serves to further illustrate the sharp increase in T_{SD} . Similar suppression is present for other OWPs in Si:Bi. There are $df/dB = 0$ minima for the $|15\rangle \rightarrow |6\rangle$, $|14\rangle \rightarrow |7\rangle$, $|13\rangle \rightarrow |8\rangle$, $|12\rangle \rightarrow |9\rangle$, and $|11\rangle \rightarrow |8\rangle$ transitions in the frequency range 5–7.5 GHz and two maxima for $|12\rangle \rightarrow |11\rangle$ and $|9\rangle \rightarrow |8\rangle$ close to 1 GHz. The decoupling from the spin bath is also expected to lead to suppression of decoherence arising from the interaction with a bath of donors.²⁶

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we present measurements of the SHF couplings between a bismuth donor and a bath of ^{29}Si impurities which suggest that isotropic couplings dominate. We further demonstrate the suppression of couplings at OWPs. Finally, the spin echo decay of the donor is calculated as a many-body problem and sharp divergence of the spin diffusion time is found at an OWP. Our study motivates experimental EPR studies in the range 5–7.5 GHz corresponding to the regions of suppressed decoherence.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Bernard Pajot for the Si:Bi crystal used here and thank the EPSRC for financial support through the COMPASSS grant. M.B.A.K. is supported by a Wellcome Trust studentship. G.W.M. is supported by the Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851. Sandia National Laboratories is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the US Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under Contract No. DE-AC04-94AL85000.

*s.balian@ucl.ac.uk

¹D. Vion, A. Aassime, A. Cottet, P. Joyez, H. Pothier, C. Urbina, D. Esteve, and M. H. Devoret, *Science* **296**, 886 (2002).

²R. de Sousa and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 033301 (2003).

³R. de Sousa and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 115322 (2003).

⁴E. Abe, K. M. Itoh, J. Isoya, and S. Yamasaki, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 033204 (2004).

⁵S. Takahashi, R. Hanson, J. van Tol, M. S. Sherwin, and D. D. Awschalom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 047601 (2008).

⁶E. Abe, A. M. Tyryshkin, S. Tojo, J. J. L. Morton, W. M. Witzel, A. Fujimoto, J. W. Ager, E. E. Haller, J. Isoya, S. A. Lyon, M. L. W. Thewalt, and K. M. Itoh, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 121201(R) (2010).

⁷W. M. Witzel, M. S. Carroll, A. Morello, L. Cywinski, and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 187602 (2010).

⁸N. Bar-Gill, L. M. Pham, C. Belthangady, D. Le Sage, P. Cappellaro, J. R. Maze, M. D. Lukin, A. Yacoby, and R. Walsworth, *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 858 (2012).

⁹N. Zhao, S. W. Ho, and R. B. Liu, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 115303 (2012).

¹⁰G. de Lange, T. van der Sar, M. Blok, Z.-H. Wang, V. Dobrovitski, and Ronald Hanson, *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 382 (2012).

¹¹B. E. Kane, *Nature (London)* **393**, 133 (1998).

¹²S. R. Schofield, N. J. Curson, M. Y. Simmons, F. J. Rueß, T. Hallam, L. Oberbeck, and R. G. Clark, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 136104 (2003).

¹³A. M. Stoneham, A. J. Fisher, and P. T. Greenland, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **15**, L447 (2003).

¹⁴A. M. Tyryshkin, S. A. Lyon, A. V. Astashkin, and A. M. Raitsimring, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 193207 (2003).

¹⁵K.-M. C. Fu, T. D. Ladd, C. Santori, and Y. Yamamoto, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 125306 (2004).

¹⁶G. W. Morley, D. R. McCamey, H. A. Seipel, L.-C. Brunel, J. van Tol, and C. Boehme, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 207602 (2008).

¹⁷A. Morello, J. J. Pla, F. A. Zwanenburg, K. W. Chan, K. Y. Tan, H. Huebl, M. Möttönen, C. D. Nugroho, C. Yang, J. A. van Donkelaar, A. D. C. Alves, D. N. Jamieson, C. C. Escott, L. C. L. Hollenberg, R. G. Clark, and A. S. Dzurak, *Nature (London)* **467**, 687 (2010).

¹⁸P. T. Greenland, S. A. Lynch, A. F. G. van der Meer, B. N. Murdin, C. R. Pidgeon, B. Redlich, N. Q. Vinh, and G. Aeppli, *Nature (London)* **465**, 1057 (2010).

¹⁹S. Simmons, R. M. Brown, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, P. Becker, H.-J. Pohl, M. L. W. Thewalt, K. M. Itoh, and J. J. L. Morton, *Nature (London)* **470**, 69 (2011).

²⁰M. Steger, T. Sekiguchi, A. Yang, K. Saeedi, M. E. Hayden, M. L. W. Thewalt, K. M. Itoh, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, P. Becker, and H.-J. Pohl, *J. Appl. Phys.* **109**, 102411 (2011).

²¹L. Dreher, F. Hoehne, M. Stutzmann, and M. S. Brandt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 027602 (2012).

²²M. Fuechsle, J. A. Miwa, S. Mahapatra, H. Ryu, S. Lee, O. Warschkow, L. C. L. Hollenberg, G. Klimeck, and M. Y. Simmons, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **7**, 242 (2012).

²³G. W. Morley, M. Warner, A. M. Stoneham, P. T. Greenland, J. van Tol, C. W. M. Kay, and G. Aeppli, *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 725 (2010).

²⁴R. E. George, W. Witzel, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, N. Nötzel, M. L. W. Thewalt, and J. J. L. Morton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 067601 (2010).

²⁵T. Sekiguchi, M. Steger, K. Saeedi, M. L. W. Thewalt, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, and N. Nötzel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 137402 (2010).

²⁶M. H. Mohammady, G. W. Morley, and T. S. Monteiro, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 067602 (2010).

²⁷M. H. Mohammady, G. W. Morley, A. Nazir, and T. S. Monteiro, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 094404 (2012).

- ²⁸G. W. Morley, P. Lueders, M. H. Mohammady, S. J. Balian, G. Aepli, C. W. M. Kay, W. M. Witzel, G. Jeschke, and T. S. Monteiro, arXiv:1109.4269.
- ²⁹W. M. Witzel and S. Das Sarma, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 035322 (2006).
- ³⁰A. M. Tyryshkin, J. J. L. Morton, S. C. Benjamin, A. Ardavan, G. A. D. Briggs, J. W. Ager, and S. A. Lyon, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **18**, S783 (2006).
- ³¹A. M. Tyryshkin, S. Tojo, J. J. L. Morton, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, P. Becker, H.-J. Pohl, T. Schenkel, M. L. W. Thewalt, K. M. Itoh, and S. A. Lyon, *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 143 (2012).
- ³²A. Schweiger and G. Jeschke, *Principles of Pulse Paramagnetic Resonance* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).
- ³³W. Yang and R. B. Liu, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 085315 (2008); **78**, 129901(E) (2008); **79**, 115320 (2009).
- ³⁴In the sense that decay of the spin echo is no longer discernible in our converged cluster calculations.
- ³⁵M. Steger, K. Saeedi, M. L. W. Thewalt, J. J. L. Morton, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, P. Becker, and H.-J. Pohl, *Science* **336**, 1280 (2012).
- ³⁶C. D. Weis, C. C. Lo, V. Lang, A. M. Tyryshkin, R. E. George, K. M. Yu, J. Bokor, S. A. Lyon, J. J. L. Morton, and T. Schenkel, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100**, 172104 (2012).
- ³⁷G. Wolfowicz, S. Simmons, A. M. Tyryshkin, R. E. George, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, P. Becker, H.-J. Pohl, S. A. Lyon, M. L. W. Thewalt, and J. J. L. Morton, arXiv:1207.3776.
- ³⁸Although Mims ENDOR offers higher selectivity to small SHF couplings, it would suffer from blind spots.
- ³⁹G. Feher, *Phys. Rev.* **114**, 1219 (1959).
- ⁴⁰E. B. Hale and R. L. Mieher, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 739 (1969).
- ⁴¹W. Akhtar, V. Filidou, T. Sekiguchi, E. Kawakami, T. Itahashi, L. Vlasenko, J. J. L. Morton, and K. M. Itoh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 097601 (2012).
- ⁴²M. Belli, M. Fanciulli, and N. V. Abrosimov, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 235204 (2011).