TRANSIT DETECTION in the presence of stellar noise



Steve Roberts, Hannu Parviainen, Vinesh Rajpaul, Ben Pope

Plato Conference, Warwick, 05/09/2017

TIMESCALES

TOTAL SOLAR IRRADIANCE (TSI) POWER SPECTRUM



THE TRADITIONAL WAY



CoRot EXAMPLE

ITERATIVE NON-LINEAR FILTER (Aigrain & Irwin 2004)



CoRot EXAMPLE

BOX-LEAST SQUARES TRANSIT SEARCH (Kovacs, Zucker & Mazeh 2002)



Sun-like activity doesn't matter for warm Neptunes, hot Super-Earths, etc... IT DOES FOR TEMPERATE EARTHS

NEITHER WHITE NOR STATIONARY

FREQUENCY CONTENT of transits vs Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) variations



CHANGE IN SINGLE-TRANSIT SNR over solar activity cycle



SETTING THE THRESHOLD

WANT <= 1 FALSE ALARMS over entire mission (1/600,000):

- threshold MES = 7.1
- expected sensitivity
 - ~80% for MES = 7.1
 - ~84% for MES = 8

KEPLER CATALOG GENERATION

- run transit search
- record all threshold crossing events (TCEs) 100000's!!!
- vet them to weed out astrophysical and instrumental false alarms
- community follow-up and/or statistical validation

IS THE SUN TYPICAL?



Plato Conference, Warwick, 05/09/2017

KEPLER TRANSIT INJECTION TESTS

Christiansen+(2013,2015a,b,2016,2017)



KEPLER "FINAL" CATALOG Thompson+(2017)

ENHANCED VARIABILITY lowers the measured SNR of Earth-like transits

TO CATCH MORE EARTHS: lower the threshold

- means more false alarms too
- only feasible with automated vetting (Robovetter)



The latest Kepler catalog will yield improved estimates of η_{Earth} STILL BASED ON VERY SMALL NUMBER OF DETECTIONS

> PLATO will survey brighter, more varied sample of stars

ACTIVE STAR



EVOLVED STAR



LOG FREQUENCY

ITS NOT JUST STELLAR NOISE



Х

STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

STELLAR VARIABILITY = INTERPLAY OF MAGNETISM & CONVECTION

- too complex / ill understood to predict analytically
- intrinsically STOCHASTIC

MODEL STOCHASTIC PROCESS EXPLICITLY

- parametrise statistical properties of data (mean, covariance)
- build any physical knowledge into model
- Bayesian framework marginalise over nuisance parameters
- easily combined with deterministic phenomena (planets)

GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

LIKELIHOOD: $p(y|x, model) = \mathcal{N}(y|m, K)$

MEAN FUNCTION: $m=f(x,\theta)$, COVARIANCE MATRIX: $K_{ij} = k(x_i,x_j,\Phi)$

، . ((_

TYPES OF GP

white noise:

 $\mathbf{K} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$

GPs ARE ALREADY USED in transit modelling



Kepler-91

KOI 2133.01 (Bathala et al. 2013)

red giant host star

CONFLICTING STUDIES

- Esteves et al. (2013): phase curve indicates self-luminous object
- Lillo-Box et al. (2014b) RV + phase curve confirm planet
- Sliski & Kipping (2014): stellar density from transit and asteroseismology inconsistent

GPs ARE ALREADY USED in systematics correction

MODEL INSTRUMENTAL EFFECTS MODEL STELLAR VARIABILITY DETECT TRANSITS



K2 MISSION: pointing variations + intrapixel variations —> systematics SYSTEMATICS: unknown 2-D function of star position STELLAR VARIABILITY: unknown 1-D function of time NEED FLEXIBLE, JOINT MODEL





K2 MISSION: pointing variations + intrapixel variations -> systematics SYSTEMATICS: unknown 2-D function of star position STELLAR VARIABILITY: unknown 1-D function of time NEED FLEXIBLE, JOINT MODEL







ADVERTISMENT BREAK

K2SC version 2 light curves now available at MAST Campaigns 3-10

GPs for DETECTION?

CHALLENGING

• large datasets - GP regression is O(N³)

POSSIBLE WITH FAST MATRIX INVERSION?

• e.g.: CELERITE (Foreman-Mackey+2017)



IMPLICATIONS FOR PLATO

DON'T FILTER, MODEL!

- correct known, predictable instrumental effects to best ability
- measure/model the rest, and the stars
- understand better relationship between existing algorithms/GPs
- PLATO pipeline is being defined now...

TEST ON KEPLER LIGHT CURVES

• we know much more about variability properties of target stars now

CAREFUL TREATMENT OF ACTIVITY WILL BE CRITICAL

- to maximise detection efficiency
- to UNDERSTAND detection efficiency and reliability
- for RADIAL VELOCITY follow-up