# Synergy between asteroseismology and exoplanet observations

## Tiago L. Campante

University of Porto

6 September 2017

## Overview

#### Current key synergies

- Precise characterization of host stars
- Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

#### 2 Future synergies

- Giant planets orbiting evolved stars
- Densities of sub-Neptunes
- 3 TESS asteroseismology of exoplanet-host stars
  - Overview of TESS
  - Asteroseismic yield of exoplanet-host stars

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

## A revolution in cool-star asteroseismology

- Solar-like oscillations excited by turbulent convection
- Cool-star asteroseismology with *Kepler*.
  - Several hundred solar-type stars
  - Over 10,000 red giants
- >100 KOIs with detected solar-like oscillations



Chaplin & Miglio (2013, ARA&A, 51, 353)

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

## Motivation

- Transit observations only provide estimate of planet-to-star radius ratio  $(R_{
  m p} \propto R_{*})$
- RVs + transits used to estimate planetary masses  $(M_{
  m p} \propto M_*^{2/3})$
- From TTVs in multi-planet systems one instead has  $M_{
  m p} \propto M_{*}$
- Stellar ages used to assess dynamical stability and relative chronology

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

## Fundamental properties of KOIs

- 33 KOIs with high S/N in the oscillations
- Oscillation frequencies matched to grids of evolutionary models
- 1.2% precision in *R*, 3.3% in *M* and 14% in age
- Precision commensurate with that expected from *PLATO* asteroseismology



Silva Aguirre et al. (2015, MNRAS, 452, 2127)

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

#### Kepler-444: oldest known system of terrestrial-size planets

- Metal-poor, Sun-like star from Galactic thick disk
- Hosts five sub-Earth-size planets
- All planets orbit in less than 10 days
- Precise age of  $11.2\pm1.0~{\rm Gyr}$  from asteroseismology



Campante et al. (2015, ApJ, 799, 170)

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

#### Detection of a 'hot-super-Earth desert'



Lundkvist et al. (2016, Nature Commun., 7, 11201)

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

### Revisiting the retired A star controversy

- RV surveys point to increasing occurrence of giant planets with stellar mass
- Surveys rely on *retired A stars* for sample of intermediate-mass stars
- Validity of relation subject to mass accuracy for evolved stars
- Figure shows asteroseismic constraints (from K2) on stellar models of HD 212771



 $\label{eq:campante et al. (2017, MNRAS, 469, 1360);} \\ \text{see also North et al. (2017, MNRAS, in press)} \\$ 

## Obliquities

- Stellar inclination from rotationally split modes
- Independent of planet size
- Multi-transiting systems: tests of primordial star-disk alignment hypothesis
- Impact on hot-Jupiter formation theories



Huber et al. (2013, Science, 342, 331)

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

## Eccentricities

Van Eylen & Albrecht (2015, ApJ, 808, 126)

- Asterodensity profiling:  $\frac{\rho_*}{\rho_{\text{transit}}} = \frac{(1-e^2)^{3/2}}{(1+e\sin\omega)^3}$ Transits used to a supervised to a supervi
- Transits used to constrain eccentricity without RVs (given independent ρ<sub>\*</sub>)

Precise characterization of host stars Dynamical architectures of planetary systems

Giant planets orbiting evolved stars Densities of sub-Neptunes

## A revolution set to continue

- *TESS*, *PLATO* and *WFIRST* to continue asteroseismic revolution
- Dedicated ground-based support (e.g., SONG)
- Number of solar-like oscillators will ascend to a few million
- > 90 % will be evolved stars
- PLATO will contribute the most detections for solar-type stars (80,000)



Figure courtesy of Dan Huber (*PLATO* red-giant yield not included!)

Giant planets orbiting evolved stars Densities of sub-Neptunes

## Insight into occurrence and structure of giant planets

- *Kepler*/K2 discovery of several giant planets around oscillating low-luminosity RGB stars
- *TESS* will allow conducting populational study
- Key unsolved questions:
  - Role of incident flux on hot-Jupiter inflation
  - Giant-planet occurrence as function of stellar mass and evolution
  - Correlation between stellar metallicity and giant-planet occurrence



Campante et al. (2016, ApJ, 830, 138)

Giant planets orbiting evolved stars Densities of sub-Neptunes

## Probing transition from rocky to gaseous

- Composition models sensitively dependent on radius
- PLATO asteroseismology provides unique opportunity to precisely study composition diversity
- Gaia parallaxes alone will not reach comparable precision
- Kepler-10, Kepler-36 and Kepler-454 (see figure) have radius and mass from asteroseismology



Gettel et al. (2016, ApJ, 816, 95) (only masses measured to better than  $20\,\%)$ 

### An all-sky survey for transiting planets

- Stars observed for at least 27 days
- 2-min cadence ( $\sim 2 \times 10^5$  pre-selected FGKM dwarfs)
- 30-min cadence (full-frame images or FFIs)



https://tess.gsfc.nasa.gov

## An overview

## There are three separate contributions to this yield:

- TESS target hosts (2-min cadence)
- TESS FFI hosts (30-min cadence)
- Previously known hosts (transiting or not)

#### Asteroseismic yield of TESS target hosts

Based on synthetic target-host population of Sullivan et al. (2015, ApJ, 809, 77)  $(\Delta t = 2 \min; \sigma_{sys} = 0 \text{ ppm hr}^{1/2})$ 8.42.0M 7.8 1.8M  $10^{1}$ 7.21.6M. 6.6  $L (L_{\odot})$ ŝ 6.0 5.410<sup>0</sup> 24 data points  $1.0 M_{\odot}$ 4.8 351 davs 162 davs  $0.8 M_{\odot}$ 4.281 days 7500 7000 6000 5500  $T_{\rm eff}({\rm K})$ 

Campante et al. (2016, ApJ, 830, 138)

Overview of *TESS* Asteroseismic yield of exoplanet-host stars

#### Asteroseismic yield of TESS FFI hosts

Based on synthetic FFI-host population of Sullivan et al. (2015, ApJ, 809, 77)  $(\Delta t = 30 \text{ min}; \sigma_{\text{sys}} = 0 \text{ ppm hr}^{1/2})$ 12.812.02.0M 11.2 1.8M $10^{1}$ 10.41.6M.  $L~({\rm L}_{\odot})$ 9.6 🕰  $1.2 M_{\odot}$ 8.8 8.0 10<sup>0</sup> 191 data points  $1.0 M_{\odot}$ 351 days 162 davs0.8M 81 days 6.4 7500 7000 6000 5500 5000  $T_{\rm eff}$  (K)

Campante et al. (2016, ApJ, 830, 138)

17 / 19

#### Asteroseismic yield of known hosts and link to CHEOPS





Campante et al. (2016, ApJ, 830, 138)

#### Book release

